arXiv:1704.05156v1 [math.SG] 18 Apr 2017

TO COMPUTE ORIENTATIONS OF MORSE FLOW TREES IN LEGENDRIAN CONTACT HOMOLOGY

CECILIA KARLSSON

Abstract. Let Λ be a Legendrian submanifold of the 1-jet space of a smooth manifold. Associated to Λ there is a Legendrian invariant called Legendrian contact homology, which is defined by counting rigid pseudo-holomorphic disks of Λ. Moreover, there exists a bijective correspondence between rigid pseudo-holomorphic disks and rigid Morse flow trees of Λ, which allows us to compute the Legendrian contact homology of Λ via Morse theory.

If Λ is spin, then the moduli space of the rigid disks can be given a coherent orientation, so that the Legendrian contact homology of Λ can be defined with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. In this paper we give an explicit algorithm for computing the corresponding orientation of the moduli space of rigid Morse flow trees, using the Morse theoretical framework.

1. Introduction

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n, and let $J^1(M) = T^*M \times \mathbb{R}$ denote its 1-jet space, with local coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z)$. This space can be given the structure of a contact manifold, with contact distribution $\xi = \mathrm{Ker}(dz - \sum_i y_i dx_i)$. A submanifold $\Lambda \subset J^1(M)$ is called *Legendrian* if it is n-dimensional and everywhere tangent to ξ, and a *Legendrian isotopy* is a smooth 1-parameter family of Legendrian submanifolds. The problem of classifying all Legendrian submanifolds of a contact manifold, up to Legendrian isotopy, is a central problem in contact geometry. This motivates finding Legendrian invariants, that is, invariants that are preserved under Legendrian isotopies. One such invariant is *Legendrian contact homology*, which is a homology theory that fits into the package of Symplectic Field Theory (SFT), introduced by Eliashberg, Givental and Hofer in the paper [EGH00].

More recently, it has been shown that Legendrian contact homology can be used not only to study properties of Legendrian submanifolds, but also to compute symplectic invariants of Weinstein manifolds obtained by surgery along Legendrians, see e.g. [BEE11, BEE12]. It has also been shown, for example in [EL, CM], that this can be generalized and used for computations in homological mirror symmetry. This indicates the importance of being able to explicitly understand the Legendrian contact homology complex with integer coefficients. This paper should be an important step in that direction.

Legendrian contact homology was originally defined using pseudo-holomorphic curve techniques, but by the results of Ekholm in [Ekh07], if the contact manifold is a 1-jet space one can instead use techniques of finite dimensional

Morse theory to compute the homology. We will use this latter setup to give an explicit algorithm to calculate the Legendrian contact homology for $\Lambda \subset J^1(M)$, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. This paper should be considered as a user's guide, and we refer to [Kar] for a complete exposition, both for definitions and for proofs.

Briefly, Legendrian contact homology is the homology of a differential graded algebra (DGA) associated to Λ. One should note that Legendrian contact homology has not been worked out in full detail for all contact manifolds, but in the special case when the contact manifold is given by the 1-jet space $J^1(M) = T^*M \times \mathbb{R}$ of a manifold M, then the analytical details were established in [EES07]. In the special case $M = \mathbb{R}$, this was also done by Eliashberg in [Eli98] and independently by Chekanov in [Che02]. In the case of a 1-jet space, the DGA of Λ can be defined by considering the *Lagrangian projection* $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}} : J^1(M) \to T^*M$. The generators of the algebra are then given by the double points of $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda)$, which correspond to *Reeb chords* of Λ. These are flow segments of the *Reeb vector field* ∂_z, having start and end point on Λ. The differential ∂ of the algebra counts certain rigid pseudo-holomorphic disks in T^*M with boundary on $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda)$. With a clever choice of almost complex structure on T^*M, one gets that the homology of this complex is a Legendrian invariant.

If $M = \mathbb{R}$, then the count of pseudo-holomorphic disks reduces to combinatorics, as described by Chekanov in [Che02], but in higher dimensions the Cauchy-Riemann equations give rise to non-linear partial differential equations, which are hard to solve. To simplify a similar problem in Lagrangian Floer homology, Fukaya and Oh in [FO97] introduced *gradient flow trees*, which are trees with edges along gradient flow lines in M, and gave a one-to-one correspondence between rigid gradient flow trees and rigid pseudo-holomorphic disks in T^*M with boundary on the Lagrangians. In [Ekh07], Ekholm generalized this method to also work in the Legendrian contact homology setting.

In [EES05] it was proved that if Λ is spin, then there is a choice of *coherent orientation* of the moduli space of rigid pseudo-holomorphic disks so that Legendrian contact homology can be defined with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. This builds on the work of Fukaya, Oh, Ohta and Ono in [FOOO09], where they describe a way to orient the determinant line of the $\bar{\partial}$-operator over the space of trivialized Lagrangian boundary conditions for the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. Since the differential in Legendrian contact homology counts rigid pseudo-holomorphic disks, the orientation of the moduli space at a disk u corresponds to a sign of u. That the orientation of the moduli space is coherent means that all these signs cancels in ∂^2, so that we get $\partial^2 = 0$.

In the special case when Λ is the conormal lift of a knot $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, then it was shown in [EENS13] that there is a coherent orientation scheme of the moduli space of rigid Morse flow trees of Λ. Moreover, an explicit formula for computing the signs of the trees was derived, and it was proved that this formula in fact coincides with Ng's combinatorially defined Knot contact homology from [Ng05a, Ng05b, Ng08]. All this has been proved very useful for the aim to study the topology of the underlying knot. In fact, generalizations of the Legendrian contact homology for the conormal lifts of knots gives a complete knot invariant for the underlying smooth knot in $\mathbb{R}^3$, see [ENS].

In the present paper we generalize the sign formula from [EENS13] to hold for trees associated to a general spin Legendrian in a 1-jet space. It should be mentioned that this sign depends on several choices, which we call *initial orientation choices* and which we describe in Section 3.

We summarize the sign formula in the following theorem. The detailed proof that this indeed gives a coherent orientation scheme is given in [Kar].

Theorem 1.1. *Let Λ be a spin Legendrian submanifold of $J^1(M)$, and assume that we have fixed all initial orientation choices. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the moduli space of rigid Morse flow trees of Λ. Then there is a coherent orientation scheme of $\mathcal{M}$ so that the sign $\sigma(\Gamma)$ of a tree $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ is given by*

$$\sigma(\Gamma) = \nu_{\text{triv}}(\Gamma) \cdot \nu_{\text{int}}(\Gamma) \cdot \nu_{\text{end}}(\Gamma) \cdot \nu_{\text{stab}}(\Gamma), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\nu_{\text{triv}}(\Gamma)$, $\nu_{\text{int}}(\Gamma)$, $\nu_{\text{end}}(\Gamma)$ and $\nu_{\text{stab}}(\Gamma)$ can be computed completely in terms of Γ and are given in Definition 3.3, 4.4, 5.1 and 6.5, respectively.

Outline. To state the definitions that occur in Theorem 1.1 we first need to introduce some more materials about flow trees, which will be done in Section 2. In Section 3 we give the formula for ν_{triv}, which is a sign that depends on the trivialization of the tangent bundle of $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda)$ along the cotangent lift of Γ, and in particular on the spin structure of Λ. In Section 4 we give the formula for ν_{int}, which is a geometric intersection sign coming from intersections of flow manifolds in M, and in Section 5 we give the formula for the sign ν_{end}, which comes from the fact that we treat a special type of vertices as marked points. We finish everything by Section 6 where we give the formula for ν_{stab}, which is an analytic sign coming from stabilizations of certain Fredholm operators.

Acknowledgements. The underlying theory of this paper is part of the authors Ph.D. thesis at Uppsala University, supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation. The paper was finished while the author was sponsored by the Geodycon project as a postdoc at Nantes University. The author would like to thank Tobias Ekholm and Michael Sullivan for sharing their insights in the subject, and for pointing out mistakes in an earlier version of the paper.

2. Morse flow trees

In this section we give a brief introduction of Morse flow trees, following the lines of [Ekh07]. We refer the reader to that paper for a more comprehensive description.

So let $\Lambda \subset J^1(M)$ be a spin Legendrian submanifold. We will assume that Λ is *front generic*, meaning that the front projection $\Pi : J^1(M) \to M$ restricted to Λ is an immersion outside a co-dimension 1 submanifold $\Sigma \subset \Lambda$. The points in Σ we call *cusp points*. If $\dim(\Lambda) \neq 2$ we will also assume that Λ has *simple front singularities*, meaning that the points in Σ are projected to standard cusp singularities under the front projection. If $\dim(\Lambda) = 2$ we also allow *swallow-tail singularities*. See [[Ekh07], Section 2.2].

2.1. **Flow lines and vertices.** Locally, away from Σ, the Legendrian Λ can be described as the multi-1-jet graph of locally defined functions $f_1, \dots, f_l : M \to \mathbb{R}$. Fix a metric g on M. The Morse flow trees of Λ are defined using negative gradient flows of such function differences. I.e. the edges of a flow tree will be solution curves of $-\nabla(f_i - f_j)$ in M, $f_i > f_j$, and these curves are patched together at 2- and 3-valent vertices, where the local defining functions change. The tree is a directed rooted tree, oriented away from the root, and the direction of the edges are given by the flow direction of the defining vector fields. For example, at a 3-valent vertex we may have an incoming flow line which solves $-\nabla(f_i - f_k)$, and two outgoing flow-lines solving $-\nabla(f_i - f_j)$ and $-\nabla(f_j - f_k)$, respectively. See Figure 1. Each edge of the tree has two lifts to Λ, one for each of the sheets corresponding to the defining functions of the edge. The Lagrangian projection of the lift of a rigid tree Γ, which we will call the *cotangent lift* of Γ, is required to give a closed curve in $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda)$.

The 1-valent vertices of a rigid flow tree are either critical points of the flow lines ending at the vertex, and thus correspond to Reeb chords of Λ, or lift to Σ. The first type of vertices are called *1-valent punctures*, and the second type are called *ends*. There are also 2-valent vertices that lift to Reeb chord end points, and they are called *2-valent punctures*. The root of the tree is required to be a puncture, and is called a *positive puncture*. All other punctures are called *negative punctures*.

The other kind of 2-valent vertices are *switches*, which are contained in $\Pi(\Sigma)$. The 3-valent vertices are either Y_0*-vertices*, given as in Figure 1, or Y_1*-vertices*, which are similar to the Y_0-vertices but where the middle sheet is cusped and the vertex belongs to $\Pi(\Sigma)$. For a more detailed description of the vertices occurring in a rigid flow tree we refer to [[DR11],Section 2.2] or [Kar].



FIGURE 1. The local picture of a Morse flow tree Γ in a neighborhood of a Y_0-vertex. The graphs of the defining functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 are sketched, together with the lift of the tree to the sheets of Λ determined by these functions. The shaded area indicates the corresponding pseudo-holomorphic disk.

2.2. **Correspondence between disks and trees.** By the results in [Ekh07], there is a one-to-one correspondence between rigid flow trees and rigid pseudo-holomorphic

disks defined by Λ. Briefly this works as follows. Consider the fiber scaling $s_\lambda : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, \lambda y, \lambda z)$ which pushes Λ towards the zero section. Then there is a choice of metric of M and almost complex structure J of T^*M, so that after a perturbation of Λ there is a one-to-one correspondence between rigid flow trees of Λ and sequences of J-holomorphic disks u_λ in T^*M with boundary on $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}(s_\lambda(\Lambda))$. The disks are characterized by the property that their boundaries are arbitrarily close to the cotangent lift of the corresponding tree Γ. Moreover, the linearized boundary conditions of the disks tend to constant $\mathbb{R}^n$-boundary conditions, except at cusp points, where we get a split boundary condition which is constantly $\mathbb{R}$ in the first $n-1$ directions, and given by a uniform $\pm\pi$-rotation in the last direction, where the sign depends on the type of vertex. In addition, when we let $\lambda \to 0$, then the domain of the disk will split up into a finite union of strips and strips with one slit.

To that end, we associate a *standard domain* to Γ. This is a subset $\Delta_{m+1}(\bar{\tau}) \subset \mathbb{R} \times [0, m] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $\bar{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-1})$, obtained by removing $m-1$ horizontal slits starting at (τ_j, j), $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, and ending at ∞. All slits look the same, they are strips ending in a half-circle of width ϵ, $0 < \epsilon << 1$. A point (τ_j, j) where a slit ends is called a *boundary minimum*. See Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. A standard domain Δ_{m+1}, $m = 5$.

The region at the negative infinity will correspond to the positive puncture of the tree, and the regions at the positive infinity to the negative punctures and the ends. The boundary minima will correspond to either 3-valent vertices or 2-valent punctures. The boundary of the standard domain should be thought of as the lifted flow lines to the cotangent lift of Γ.

2.3. **Partial flow trees.** We will often cut a given rigid flow tree into smaller pieces, to obtain *partial flow trees*. These are similar to true flow trees, except that the cotangent lift of the tree is not required to close up. The vertices which are created under the cutting are called *special punctures*, and the other vertices we denote by *true vertices*.

If Γ is a rigid flow tree and $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ is a partial flow tree, then the edges of Γ' inherit the directions from the edges of Γ. In particular, each partial flow tree will be rooted and oriented away from the root. If the root is a special puncture it is called a *positive special puncture*. The special punctures that are not the root are called *negative special punctures*. Note that when we cut a (partial) flow tree Γ into two partial flow trees Γ'_1, Γ'_2, then one of the trees will have the cutting point as a special positive puncture, and the other tree will have the cutting point as a special negative puncture. Again we refer to [[Ekh07], Section 2.2].

Definition 2.1. *A partial flow tree which is obtained by cutting a rigid flow tree just once is called a* sub flow tree*.*

Each partial flow tree Γ' has an associated standard domain, which is nothing but the corresponding part of the standard domain associated to the rigid flow tree that Γ' is a part of.

2.4. **Flow-outs and intersection manifolds.** Another construction which will be important for us is the notion of *flow-out* and *intersection manifold*, compare [[Ekh07], Section 3.2]. These objects are defined as follows.

Definition 2.2. *Let e be a flow line of a local function difference $f_1 - f_2$ and let $K \subset M$ be a subset so that $K \cap e \neq \emptyset$. Then the* flow-out of K along e *is the union of all maximal flow lines of $-\nabla(f_1 - f_2)$ that intersect K.*

Definition 2.3. *If p is a puncture of Γ with defining functions $f_1 > f_2$, then we let $W^u(p)$ and $W^s(p)$ denote the unstable and stable manifold, respectively, of $-\nabla(f_1 - f_2)$.*

Now assume that $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ is a sub flow tree of a rigid tree Γ. Assume that the special puncture of Γ' is given by q, and let e be the edge of Γ' ending at q. Let p denote the other vertex of e. We will define something called the *flow-out of Γ' at q*, denoted by $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$. In the case when p is a 2- or 3-valent vertex, then this is the flow-out of the corresponding *intersection manifold* $\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma')$ along e. All this is defined inductively in Table 1 below, for each type of vertex p. In all cases we let D_s be a co-dimension 1 disk centered at s, $s = p, q$, and transverse to e.

3. The sign ν_{triv}

The sign ν_{triv} depends on the spin structure of Λ. Briefly, this has the following reason. To give a coherent orientation of the determinant line of the $\bar{\partial}$-problem corresponding to a rigid flow tree Γ, we need to choose a well-defined trivialization of $T\Lambda$ along the lift of Γ, up to homotopy. And this can be done by using the spin structure, as we will explain in this section.

3.1. **Initial choices.** To start with we need to discuss the initial orientation choices. These are the following:

- choice of spin structure on Λ;
- choice of orientation of the base manifold M;
- choice of orientations of the unstable manifolds associated to the punctures of Γ;
- choice of orientation of $\mathbb{C}$.

That the orientation of $\mathbb{C}$ affects the sign of Γ comes from the fact that the underlying theory uses determinant line bundles associated to complex $\bar{\partial}$-operators, which are given orientations induced by the orientation of $\mathbb{C}$. See [FOOO09] and [EES05]. The choice of orientations of the unstable manifolds will be visible first in Section 4.

Remark 3.1. The choice of orientation of M is in fact only a local choice. If we keep track of the local choices we can define the orientation algorithm also in the case when M is non-orientable.

TABLE 1. **Definition of flow-outs and intersection manifolds.**

Type	Description of $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ and $\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma')$.
p positive 1-valent puncture	then $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ is the flow-out of p along e. I.e. $T_q\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma') \simeq T_qW^u(p)$.
p negative 1-valent puncture	then $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ is the flow-out of p along e. I.e. $T_q\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma') \simeq T_qW^s(p)$.
p an end	then $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ is the flow-out of D_p along e.
p a switch	then $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ is the flow-out of $\mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_1) \cap \Pi(\Sigma) \cap D_p$ along e, where Γ'_1 is the sub flow tree of Γ with p as a special positive (negative) puncture if q is positive (negative).
p 2-valent puncture	then $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ equals e. The intersection manifold $\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma')$ equals p.
p is a Y_0-vertex, q is positive	then $\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma') = \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_1) \cap \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_2)$, where Γ'_i, $i = 1, 2$, are the sub flow trees of Γ with p as a special positive puncture. The flow-out $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ is given by the flow-out of $\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma') \cap D_p$ along e.
p is a Y_1-vertex, q is positive	then $\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma') = \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_1) \cap \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_2) \cap \Pi(\Sigma)$, where Γ'_i, $i = 1, 2$, are the sub flow trees of Γ with p as special positive puncture. The flow-out $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ is given by the flow-out of $\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma') \cap D_p$ along e.

3.2. **Trivializations.** By the constructions in [[Ekh07], Section 4.3], we may assume that the metric of M is flat in a neighborhood of Γ, and that we can find a partition of Γ into *elementary regions* and *edge point regions*. The elementary regions are characterized by the fact that the defining sheets of Λ may be assumed to be covariantly constant along the cotangent lift of these parts of Γ, except the lifts to the cusp-sheets which are covariantly constant in directions tangent to Σ, and make a uniform $\pm\pi$-rotation in the perpendicular direction. The edge point regions are constructed to interpolate between elementary regions. They contain no true vertices and shrink to points under the degeneration process $\lambda \to 0$.

Now let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the chosen spin structure of Λ. Consider the stabilized tangent bundle of $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda)$;

$$T\tilde{\Lambda} := T\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda) \oplus \mathbb{R},$$

where we have added an auxiliary direction. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the cotangent lift of Γ, and let $\tilde{\Gamma}^* T\tilde{\Lambda} \subset \partial\Delta(\Gamma) \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ denote the Lagrangian pullback bundle over $\partial\Delta(\Gamma)$. We will use $\mathfrak{s}$ to define a Lagrangian trivialization of this bundle. First we define explicit

trivializations along the parts of $\Delta(\Gamma)$ that correspond to elementary regions, and then we use the edge point regions to glue together the trivializations as described by $\mathfrak{s}$.

To that end, if $\gamma \subset \Delta(\Gamma)$ is an arc corresponding to a (subset of an) elementary region so that Λ is covariantly constant along γ, then we assign a sign $\sigma(\gamma)$ to γ:

$$\sigma(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } T\Pi : T_p\Lambda \to T_{\Pi(p)}M \quad \text{is orientation-preserving for } p \in \gamma \\ -1, & \text{if } T\Pi : T_p\Lambda \to T_{\Pi(p)}M \quad \text{is orientation-reversing for } p \in \gamma. \end{cases}$$

We let Γ' denote the elementary region under consideration, and we let $(x_1, ..., x_n, x_{n+1})$ be the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, where the last direction corresponds to the auxiliary direction. Moreover, we may assume that the elementary regions contain at most one true vertex, and we classify them from this data. The trivializations of $\tilde{\Gamma}^* T\tilde{\Lambda}$ along the components of $\partial\Delta(\Gamma)$ corresponding to elementary regions are then given as follows.

1-valent punctures:

Choose an oriented trivialization $(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n})$ of TM along Γ'. Let γ_1, γ_2 denote the two cotangent lifts of Γ', and think of them as subsets of $\partial\Delta(\Gamma)$. Lift the trivialization of $TM|_{\Gamma'}$ to a trivialization of $T\tilde{\Lambda}$ along γ_1 and γ_2 as follows:

$$(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \sigma(\gamma_i) \cdot \partial_{x_{n+1}}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

2-valent punctures:

The trivialization is given similar to the case of 1-valent punctures, where we now have that the corresponding part of $\partial\Delta(\Gamma)$ consists of 3 connected components.

Y_0-vertices:

The trivialization is given similar to the case of 2-valent punctures.

ends:

Let e denote the end vertex. We choose an oriented trivialization $(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n})$ of TM along Γ' so that $T_e\Pi(\Sigma) = \mathrm{Span}(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_{n-1}})$, and so that the local functions that define Γ' are covariantly constant in these directions. Let $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$ denote the two Lagrangian lifts of Γ', and identify them with the corresponding parts of $\partial\Delta(\Gamma)$. Let $U(e)$ be a neighborhood of $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$ where the π-rotation is performed. We lift the trivialization of TM to $T\tilde{\Lambda}$, as follows:

- In $\gamma_i \setminus U(e)$, $i = 1, 2$:

$$(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \sigma(\gamma_i \setminus U(e)) \cdot \partial_{x_{n+1}})$$

- In $U(e)$: Assume that the trivialization is chosen so that ∂_{x_n} points in the outward normal direction of $\Pi(\Lambda_e)$ at the end point e, where Λ_e is the union of the two sheets of Λ containing e. See Figure 3. This means that when passing through the end point, in the lifted trivialization the ∂_{x_n}-vector will perform a positive π-rotation, and we will have a trivialization of $T\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda)$ given by $(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n})$ before the rotation and given by $(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_{n-1}}, -\partial_{x_n})$ after the rotation. Assume that half of this rotation is made along γ_1, and that the other half is made along γ_2. Just after the

$\pi/2$-rotation of ∂_{x_n} along γ_2, we perform an π-rotation in the plane spanned by ∂_{x_n} and $\partial_{x_{n+1}}$, taking $-\partial_{x_n}$ to ∂_{x_n} and $\pm\partial_{x_{n+1}}$ to $\mp\partial_{x_{n+1}}$, keeping the other coordinates fixed.

Remark 3.2. Note that $\sigma(\gamma_1 \setminus U(e)) \neq \sigma(\gamma_2 \setminus U(e))$.

switches:

Let s be the switch-vertex. The trivialization along Γ is given similar to the case of an end-vertex. That is, let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \subset \partial\Delta(\Gamma)$ denote the arcs corresponding to the cotangent lift of Γ', and assume that γ_1 is the one that passes through $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma)$. Let $U(s) \subset \partial\Delta(\Gamma)$ be a subarc of γ_1 where the $-\pi$-rotation is performed. Let γ_{11}, $\gamma_{12} \subset \gamma_1$ so that $\gamma_{11} \cup U(s) \cup \gamma_{12} = \gamma_1$ oriented. Choose an oriented trivialization $(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n})$ of TM along Γ' so that $T_s\Pi(\Sigma) = \mathrm{Span}(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_{n-1}})$, and so that the local functions which define Γ' are covariantly constant in these directions. Assume that the trivialization is chosen so that ∂_{x_n} points in the outward normal direction of $\Pi(\Lambda_s)$ at s, where Λ_s is the union of the two sheets of Λ containing s. See Figure 3. We then choose the following trivialization.

- Along γ_j: $(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \sigma(\gamma_j) \cdot \partial_{x_{n+1}})$, $j = 11, 12, 2$.
- In $U(s)$: A uniform $-\pi$-rotation in the ∂_{x_n}-coordinate, constantly $(\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_{n-1}}) \oplus (\sigma(\gamma_{11}) \cdot \partial_{x_{n+1}})$ in the other coordinates, followed by a π-rotation taking$-\partial_{x_n}$ to ∂_{x_n} and $\pm\partial_{x_{n+1}}$ to $\mp\partial_{x_{n+1}}$, keeping the other coordinates fixed.

Y_1-vertices:

The trivialization along Γ is given similar to the case of a switch-vertex.

Regions without true vertices:

The trivialization is given similar to the case of 1-valent punctures.

Now we use the edge point regions to glue together these trivializations in the way that is prescribed by $\mathfrak{s}$. Here we interpret $\mathfrak{s}$ as a Čech cocycle, and we extend it trivially over the auxiliary $\mathbb{R}$-direction. For a description of how the spin structure induces a well-defined (up to homotopy) trivialization of the lift of Γ, see [[EES05], Section 4.4] or [[Sch], Lemma 8.9]. In our case we just replace the boundary of the disk by the cotangent lift of the tree. Denote this trivialization by $\Theta_{\mathfrak{s}}(\Gamma)$.

3.3. **Definition of ν_{triv}.** Note that, if Γ_1' and Γ_2' are two elementary regions that are connected by an edge point region Γ_e, then we could identify the trivializations of TM along Γ_1' and Γ_2' via evaluation at T_eM, where $e \in \Gamma$ is the point that corresponds to Γ_e in the limit $\lambda = 0$. We could then use this to define a trivialization of $\tilde{\Gamma}^*T\tilde{\Lambda}$, just by defining this identification as transition maps at the two points of $\partial\Delta(\Gamma)$ that corresponds to the lift of e, for each edge point region of Γ. In this way we get a trivialization $\Theta'(\Gamma)$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}^*T\tilde{\Lambda}$. This does not necessarily give the same trivialization as $\Theta_{\mathfrak{s}}(\Gamma)$, but the difference can be measured by a sign, which will be nothing but ν_{triv}. This sign is computed as follows.

For each maximal connected component γ of $\Delta(\Gamma)$, let $\Theta_{\mathfrak{s}}(\gamma)$ denote the trivialization of $\Gamma^*T\tilde{L}$ induced by $\Theta_{\mathfrak{s}}(\Gamma)$, and let $\Theta'(\gamma)$ denote our explicitly constructed trivialization with transition maps given by evaluation in T_eM (that is, $\Theta'(\Gamma)$). By considering the

concatenation $\Theta_{\mathfrak{s}}(\gamma)^{-1} \circ \Theta'(\gamma)$ we get a loop of trivializations associated to γ (recall that we assume the trivializations to be equal at all infinities of $\Delta(\Gamma)$), and hence an element $\sigma(\gamma) \in \pi_1(SO(n+1))$.

Definition 3.3. *Let*

$$\nu_{\text{triv}}(\Gamma) = \prod_{\gamma} (-1)^{\sigma(\gamma)} \tag{3.1}$$

where the product is taken over all maximal connected components of $\Delta(\Gamma)$.

For an alternative and more detailed description, see [[EES05], Section 4.4].

4. The sign ν_{int}

In this section we will define the sign $\nu_{\text{int}}(\Gamma)$. This is nothing but a geometric intersection sign, coming from intersections of the flow-outs of the sub flow trees of Γ. Indeed, from [[Ekh07], Proposition 3.14] it follows that the tangent space, in the space of trees, of a sub flow tree Γ' with special puncture q can be identified with $T_q\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ via evaluation at the puncture. In particular, the dimension of the space of sub flow trees is never bigger than the dimension of M.

We use this observation to define orientations of the sub flow trees of Γ from Table 1, realized as orientations of $T_q\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$. This will be done inductively, over the number of true vertices of the sub flow trees, by taking oriented intersections of the flow-outs in M. In the end, we will be able to define an orientation of the tangent space of Γ, and since this is 0-dimensional it is only a sign. This will be the sign $\nu_{\text{int}}(\Gamma)$.

4.1. **Intersection orientation.** To calculate the orientations of the intersection manifold of Γ we will make use of the following orientation rule.

Assume that $V_1, V_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are subspaces so that the sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & V & \to & V_1 \oplus V_2 & \to & \mathbb{R}^n & \to & 0 \\ & & v & \mapsto & (v,v) & & & & \\ & & & & (u,w) & \mapsto & w-u, & & \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

is exact, where $V = V_1 \cap V_2$. Assume that V_1, V_2 and $\mathbb{R}^n$ are oriented. Choose an orientation $\mathcal{O}_c(V)$ of V, and let $\tilde{V}_i \subset V_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be oriented subspaces so that

$$V_i = V \oplus \tilde{V}_i \quad \text{oriented}, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{4.2}$$

Then there is a $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$ so that

$$V \oplus \tilde{V}_1 \oplus \tilde{V}_2 = (-1)^{\nu}\mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{oriented}. \tag{4.3}$$

Definition 4.1. *We define the* intersection orientation $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(V)$ *of* V *to be given by*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(V) := \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(V_1, V_2) = (-1)^{\nu + \dim V_1 \cdot (1 + \dim V)} \mathcal{O}_c(V).$$

In what follows, we will use the orientation of M to identify $T_qM \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$, oriented, for any $q \in \Gamma$.

4.2. **Orientation of flow-outs and intersection manifolds.** Now we define the orientations of the sub flow trees of Γ, using the inductively construction of the flow-outs from Table 1. We use the notation from there. The orientations $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma'))$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{I}_q(\Gamma'))$ of $\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$ and $\mathcal{I}_q(\Gamma')$, respectively, will be given in terms of wedges of tangent vectors of T_qM.

First we let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(W^u(p))$ denote the initial choice of orientation of $W^u(p)$, represented by a wedge product of an oriented basis of $T_qW^u(p)$, and let it induce an orientation $\mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(T_qW^s(p))$ on $W^s(p)$ by requiring that

$$\bigwedge^{\max} T_pM = \mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(T_pW^u(p)) \wedge \mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(T_pW^s(p))$$

is an oriented identification. Also, recall that $\Sigma \subset \Lambda$ denotes the singular set under the front projection $\Pi : \Lambda \to M$ and that $v \in \Pi(\Sigma)$ whenever v is an end-, switch- or Y_1-vertex. At such points we may assume that $T_v\Pi(\Sigma)$ is of codimension 1 in T_vM and we can locally consider $\Pi(\Sigma)$ as the boundary of $\Pi(\Lambda_v)$, where Λ_v is (one of) the sheets of Λ containing the lift of v intersected with Σ. Give $\Pi(\Lambda_v)$ the orientation from M, and define an orientation $\mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(T_v\Pi(\Sigma))$ to be given by the orientation of $\Pi(\Sigma)$ oriented as the boundary of $\Pi(\Lambda_v)$, oriented by outward normal last. See Figure 3.



FIGURE 3. Local coordinates at a cusp. Here v represents a switch, end or Y_1-vertex, and $\Pi(\Lambda_v)$ is given the orientation from ∂_{x_1}.

Now let the flow-out orientation of the first four trees in Table 1 be given as follows:

p pos. 1*-valent puncture:*	$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(T_qW^u(p))$
p neg. 1*-valent puncture:*	$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(T_qW^s(p))$
p an end:	$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')) = \mathcal{O}(T_qM)$
p a switch:	$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma_1')), \mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(T_p\Pi(\Sigma)))$

where we use parallel transport along e to identify tangent spaces.

For the other trees from Table 1, with positive special punctures, we let the orientation of the intersection manifolds be defined as follows.

p a negative 2*-valent puncture:*

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma')) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{O}(F_p(\Gamma_1')), \mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(W^u(p))),$$

where Γ'_1 is the sub flow tree of Γ having p as positive special puncture,

p a Y_0-vertex, q is positive:

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma')) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_1), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_2))),$$

p a Y_1-vertex, q is positive:

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma')) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}\left(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_1)), \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_2), \mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(T_p\Pi(\Sigma))))\right)$$

where in the two latter cases Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 are numbered so that the standard domain of Γ'_1 corresponds to the lower part of the standard domain of Γ'.

To define the flow-out orientation of the three last cases, let w be the tangent vector of e at q pointing in the direction against the defining vector field, and define the orientation of the flow-out along e as

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{I}_p(\Gamma')) \wedge w.$$

Again we use parallel transport over the elementary regions to identify tangent spaces.

4.3. **Definition of ν_{int}.** To finally define $\nu_{\text{int}}(\Gamma)$ we need to handle two separate cases, depending on the valence of the positive puncture of Γ.

First assume that Γ is a rigid Morse flow tree with a positive 1-valent puncture a. We cut Γ into two sub flow trees, as follows.

- Let v denote the first vertex of Γ that we meet when going along Γ, starting at the positive puncture a, satisfying that v is not a switch.
- Let p denote a point on the oriented edge of Γ starting at v, oriented against the flow orientation (so that it is oriented towards a) and so that p is contained in the same elementary region as v.
- Let Γ'_1 denote the sub flow tree of Γ having p as special positive puncture.
- Let $\Gamma'_2 = \Gamma \setminus \Gamma'_1$, so that the true vertices of Γ'_2 are given by switches and the positive puncture of Γ.

Now we get two different cases, depending on the number of true vertices of Γ'_1. If Γ'_1 has only one true vertex, p_0 say, then assume that the flow direction of the edge of Γ'_1 (connecting p to p_0) is given by $+\partial_{x_1}$. Let ν_1 be an integer so that the intersection orientation of $T_{p_0}\mathcal{F}_{p_0}(\Gamma'_1) \cap T_{p_0}F_{p_0}(\Gamma'_2)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_{p_0}(\Gamma'_1)), \mathcal{O}(F_{p_0}(\Gamma'_2))) = (-1)^{\nu_1}\partial_{x_1}. \tag{4.4}$$

In the other case, when Γ'_1 has more than one true puncture, recall that we have inductively defined orientations of $\mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_2)$ and $\mathcal{I}_v(\Gamma'_1)$. Use flat coordinates along the edge of Γ connecting v to p to identify $T_p\mathcal{I}_v(\Gamma'_1) \simeq T_v\mathcal{I}_v(\Gamma'_1)$ and let ν_1 be an integer so that

$$\bigwedge^{\max} T_pM = (-1)^{\nu_1} \cdot \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{I}_v(\Gamma'_1)) \wedge \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma'_2)). \tag{4.5}$$

Remark 4.2. Note that we are using the intersection manifold of Γ'_1 in the second case, instead of the flow-out.



FIGURE 4. The final gluing when the positive puncture a is 1-valent, giving the rigid flow tree.

Next assume that the positive puncture a of the rigid tree Γ is 2-valent. Let p_1, p_2 be points on each of the edges of Γ that contain a, and assume that they belong to the same elementary region as a. By cutting Γ at these points we get the following 3 partial flow trees.

- A partial flow tree Γ_a that contains the positive 2-valent puncture a, and has p_1 and p_2 as negative special punctures, and no other vertices.
- Sub flow trees Γ'_i with p_i as a positive special puncture, $i = 1, 2$.

We use the following notation, see Figure 5.

- Let Γ'_1 denote the sub flow tree that corresponds to the lower part of the standard domain of Γ.
- Let $\mu_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ so that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{cap}}(W^s(a)) = (-1)^{\mu_0}.$$

- Let $\mu_i \in \{0, 1\}$ so that

$$\mathcal{O}(T_{p_i}M) = (-1)^{\mu_i}\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_{p_i}(\Gamma_i)), \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

Finally let

$$\nu_1 = \mu_0 + \mu_1 + \mu_2. \tag{4.6}$$

Remark 4.3. Notice that in this case we cannot have a switch adjacent to the positive puncture.

Definition 4.4. *The sign* ν_{int} *is given by*

$$\nu_{\text{int}}(\Gamma) = (-1)^{\nu_1},$$

where ν_1 *is given by*

- (4.4) *in the case when the standard domain of* Γ *has no slits,*
- (4.5) *when the standard domain of* Γ *has at least one slit and the positive puncture of* Γ *is* 1*-valent,*
- (4.6) *in the case when the positive puncture of* Γ *is 2-valent.*



FIGURE 5. The final gluing when the positive puncture is 2-valent.

5. The sign ν_{end}

Now we discuss the sign ν_{end}, coming from regarding ends as marked points of the standard domains.

Assume that Γ has l negative punctures $q_1, \dots, q_l$ and k end-vertices $e_1, \dots, e_k$. Pick indices $k_1 < \dots < k_k, l_1 < \dots < l_l$ so that

$$\{k_1, \dots, k_k, l_1, \dots, l_l\} = \{1, \dots, k+l\}$$

and so that the ends and punctures of Γ are occurring along the boundary of $\Delta(\Gamma)$ in a way so that $p_{l_i} = q_i$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, and $p_{k_i} = e_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, with notation as in Figure 2. Let $m = k + l$.

For $m \geq 2$ we identify the ordered tuple $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ with the oriented standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^m$, so that we can use wedge products of the points when computing ν_{end}.

Definition 5.1. *The sign ν_{end} is given as follows.*

$$\nu_{\text{end}} = \begin{cases} 0, & l \cdot k = 0, \\ (-1)^{l_1+1}, & l = 1, k \neq 0, \\ (-1)^{\sigma_p + l_1 + l_2 + 1}, & l \geq 2, k \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where σ_p satisfies

$$(-1)^{\sigma_p} p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{p}_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{p}_{l_2} \wedge \dots \wedge p_m = p_{l_3} \wedge \dots \wedge p_{l_l} \wedge p_{k_1} \wedge \dots \wedge p_{k_k}.$$

Here $\hat{v}$ indicates that the vector v is absent. See Figure 6.

6. The sign ν_{stab}

In this section we define the algebraic sign ν_{stab}, which comes from something called capping orientations of the pseudo-holomorphic disks corresponding to the trees, and from gluing of such disks. Again we refer to [Kar] for the underlying theory.

This sign will not be given explicitly, but stated as a function depending on the vertices of Γ. In particular, each vertex of Γ will have a sign associated to it, and the sum of all these signs gives ν_{stab}. To that end, let $\mathcal{P}_1^-$ denote the set of negative



FIGURE 6. In this example we have $l_1 = 2$, $l_2 = 3$, $l_3 = 5$, $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 4$. Thus $\nu_{\text{end}} = (-1)^{0+2+3+1} = 1$, since $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge q_3 = q_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2$.

1-valent punctures of Γ, $\mathcal{P}_2^-$ the set of negative 2-valent punctures of Γ, $\mathcal{Y}_i$ the set of Y_i-vertices of Γ, $i = 0, 1$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of switch-vertices of Γ. The latter set we divide into two subsets $\mathcal{S}^-$ and $\mathcal{S}^+$, respectively, where $\mathcal{S}^+$ is the set of switches that belong to the same component as the positive puncture in the division of Γ in Section 4.3. We also let $\mathcal{P}^- = \mathcal{P}_1^- \cup \mathcal{P}_2^-$.

Now, for each of these six sets we define a function

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{Z}, \qquad \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{P}_1^-, \mathcal{P}_2^-, \mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{S}^+, \mathcal{S}^-.$$

These functions will in turn depend heavily on whether the Maslov indices μ of the punctures of Γ are odd or even. The parity of the Maslov index of a Reeb chord can be computed by fixing a path in Λ, connecting the upper point of the Reeb chord with the lower point, and then counting the number of times the path intersects Σ (pick the path so that all intersections are transverse). The Maslov index for a special puncture is computed similarly.

Definition 6.1. *Given a (special) puncture p, then we let $|\mu(p)|$ denote the parity of the Maslov index of p.*

Definition 6.2. *If p is a Reeb chord of Λ, then we let $I(p)$ denote the Morse index of p, i.e.*

$$I(p) = \dim W^u(p).$$

Definition 6.3. *If Γ is a (partial) flow tree, then we let $e(\Gamma)$ be the number of end vertices of Γ.*

We will also need to use data from the sub flow tree(s) that end(s) at p as in the description in Table 1. In particular, if Γ' is a (sub) flow tree we let $\text{bm}(\Gamma')$ denote the number of boundary minima of the corresponding standard domain. For trees Γ' with $\text{bm}(\Gamma') > 0$ we moreover let $\text{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma')$ be the order of the boundary minimum of Γ' with smallest τ-value. For example, if Γ' is obtained from gluing two trees Γ_1' and Γ_2' at a Y_0- or Y_1-vertex, where the trees are ordered as explained in Section 4.2, then

$$\text{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma') = \text{bm}(\Gamma_1') + 1, \qquad \text{bm}(\Gamma') - \text{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma') = \text{bm}(\Gamma_2').$$

If $\text{bm}(\Gamma') = 0$ we let $\text{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma') = 0$.

Example 6.4. In Figure 4 we have $\text{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma) = 3$, and in Figure 5 we have $\text{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma) = 5$.

We will also use a certain subspace of the tangent space of the flow-out of Γ' at the special puncture q, called the *true kernel* of Γ', $\mathrm{Ker}_q(\Gamma')$. This space is related to the kernel of the corresponding linearized $\bar{\partial}$-operator. To define this space, we will repeatedly use the trivialization from Section 3 and in particular parallel transport along the elementary regions to identify tangent spaces of M along Γ. We will omit the q in the notation of $\mathrm{Ker}_q(\Gamma')$ when there is no risk of confusion.

The space $\mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma')$ is defined inductively as follows, with the set-up introduced in Table 1. In particular, p denotes the true vertex of Γ' connected to q via the edge e.

p *pos.* 1*-valent puncture:*	$\mathrm{Ker}_q(\Gamma') = T_q\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$
p *neg.* 1*-valent puncture:*	$\mathrm{Ker}_q(\Gamma') = T_q\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$
p *an end:*	$\mathrm{Ker}_q(\Gamma') = T_q\mathcal{F}_q(\Gamma')$
p *a switch:*	$\mathrm{Ker}_q(\Gamma') = \mathrm{Ker}_p(\Gamma'_1) \cap T_p\Pi(\Sigma)$
p *neg.* 2*-valent puncture:*	$\mathrm{Ker}_q(\Gamma') = 0$
p Y_0*-vertex,* q *is positive:*	$\mathrm{Ker}_q(\Gamma') = \mathrm{Ker}_p(\Gamma'_1) \cap \mathrm{Ker}_p(\Gamma'_2)$
p Y_1*-vertex,* q *is positive:*	$\mathrm{Ker}_q(\Gamma') = \mathrm{Ker}_p(\Gamma'_1) \cap \mathrm{Ker}_p(\Gamma'_2) \cap T_p\Pi(\Sigma)$

where we in the 4 last cases use parallel transport to identify tangent spaces.

6.1. **Definition of $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}_1^-}$.** Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the set of all Reeb chords of Λ. Then $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}_1^-}$ is given as a function

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathcal{P}_1^-} : \mathcal{R} &\to \{0,1\}\\ p &\mapsto \sigma_{\mathcal{P}_1^-}(p) = \sigma_{\mathcal{P}_1^-}(|\mu(p)|, I(p)).\end{aligned}$$

That is, this function only depends on the Morse index and the parity of the Maslov index of the chords.

6.2. **Definition of $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}$.** Let p be a Y_0-vertex and let Γ'_1, Γ'_2 be the sub flow trees of Γ with positive special punctures at p. Here we are using the notation from Section 4, and in particular the same ordering of the trees as in there. By cutting these trees a bit earlier than p, we can as well assume that Γ'_i has positive special puncture given by $p_i \neq p$, $i = 1, 2$. See Figure 7.



FIGURE 7. Gluing of two trees at a Y_0-vertex.

We now give the formula for the sign $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(p)$, which will depend on data from the incoming trees Γ'_1, Γ'_2, and also on the parity of the Maslov index of the special punctures p_1 and p_2.

That is, there is a function $\sigma_0 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \{0,1\}$ so that we have

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(p) = \sigma_0(|\mu(p_1)|, |\mu(p_2)|) + \eta + \mathrm{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma_1') + \mathrm{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma_2') + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1') + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_2'),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\eta = {} & e(\Gamma_1') \cdot [\mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_2') + e(\Gamma_2') + 1] + [n + \dim \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma_1')] \cdot [\mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_2') + |\mu(p_2)| + 1] \\ & + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1') \cdot [|\mu(p_2)| + \dim \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma_2') + n + 1] + \dim \mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma) + \dim \mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_1') \\ & + n \cdot \dim \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma_2') + \dim \mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_2') + |\mu(p_1)| \cdot [1 + |\mu(p_2)| + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_2')].\end{aligned}$$

6.3. **Definition of $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}_1}$.** With completely the same notation as in the case of Y_0-vertices we define the sign $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}_1}$ as follows.

There is a function $\sigma_1 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \{0,1\}$ so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}_1}(p) = {} & \sigma_1(|\mu(p_1)|, |\mu(p_2)|) + \eta + \dim \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma_1') + \dim \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma_2') \\ & + \mathrm{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma_1') + \mathrm{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma_2') + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1') + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_2').\end{aligned}$$

6.4. **Definition of $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}_2^-}$.** In the case when p is a negative 2-valent vertex we have one sub flow tree Γ_1' which has p as a special positive puncture, and again we can cut Γ_1' a bit earlier, at a special puncture p_0, say. Assume that the negative punctures and ends of Γ_1' are given by $p_1, \dots, p_k$, ordered as in Figure 2. Note that we get two possible cases of ordering of the negative punctures and ends of Γ', either $(p, p_1, \dots, p_k)$ or $(p_1, \dots, p_k, p)$. In the first case we say that p is of *type* 1, and and in the second case we say that p is of *type* 2. We let $\mathrm{tp}(p) \in \{1,2\}$ indicate the type of p.

Just as in case for 1-valent negative punctures we have a function

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathrm{ne},2} : \mathcal{P}_2^- &\to \{0,1\}, \\ p &\mapsto \sigma_{\mathrm{ne},2}(p) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ne},2}(|\mu(p)|, |\mu(p_0)|, \mathrm{tp}(p))\end{aligned}$$

which in this case only depend on the parity of the Maslov index of p and p_0, and the type of p.

The sign $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}_2^-}$ is defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathcal{P}_2^-}(p) = {} & \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1') \cdot [n + |\mu(p)| + 1 + \mathrm{tp}(p)] + n \cdot [1 + \mathrm{tp}(p) \cdot (1 + |\mu(p)|)] \\ & + [1 + \mathrm{tp}(p)] \cdot e(\Gamma_1') + \dim \mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_1') + \sigma_{\mathrm{ne},2}(p) + \mathrm{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma_1') + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1').\end{aligned}$$

6.5. **Definition of $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}^-}$.** Similar to the case of negative 2-valent vertices we have one sub flow tree Γ_1' which has p as a special positive puncture, and again we can cut Γ_1' a bit earlier, at a special puncture p_1. The sign of p depends only on the parity of the Maslov index of p_1 and data from the incoming tree, as follows.

First, we have a function

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathrm{switch}} : \mathcal{S} &\to \{0,1\}, \\ p &\mapsto \sigma_{\mathrm{switch}}(p) = \sigma_{\mathrm{switch}}(|\mu(p_1)|)\end{aligned}$$

which in this case only depend on the parity of the Maslov index of p_1.

The sign of the switch p is then defined as follows.

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{S}^-}(p) = \sigma_{\mathrm{switch}}(p) + n + 1 + \dim \mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_1') + \dim \mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma) + \dim \mathcal{F}_p(\Gamma_1'). \tag{6.1}$$

6.6. **The sign of the positive puncture.** We use the notation from Section 4. In particular, the sign of the positive puncture a will depend on whether it is 1- or 2-valent.

Similar to the case of negative punctures there is a function

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathrm{po},1} : \mathcal{R} &\to \{0,1\}\\ p &\mapsto \sigma_{\mathrm{po},1}(p) = \sigma_{\mathrm{po},1}(|\mu(p)|, I(p)).\end{aligned}$$

Also, for the 2-valent positive punctures we have a function

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathrm{po},2} : \mathcal{P}_2^+ &\to \{0,1\},\\ p &\mapsto \sigma_{\mathrm{po},2}(p) = \sigma_{\mathrm{po},2}(|\mu(p_1)|, |\mu(p_2)|)\end{aligned}$$

where p_1, p_2 are the special punctures as described in Section 4, and where $\mathcal{P}_2^+$ is the set of all possible positive 2-valent punctures of trees of Λ.

Let k denote the number of elements in $\mathcal{S}^+$, and note that $k = 0$ if a is 2-valent. Then the sign $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}^+}$ of a is given by:

a is 1-valent, Γ_1' has only one true vertex:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathcal{P}^+}(a) = \sigma_{\mathrm{po},1}(a) + \sum_{s\in\mathcal{S}^+}\sigma_{\mathrm{switch}}(s) + \frac{(k-1)k}{2}(n+1) + |\mu(a)|\\ + k\cdot[n\cdot\dim W^u(a) + \dim W^u(a) + n] + \dim W^u(a)\cdot[n + |\mu(a)|]\end{aligned}$$

a is 1-valent, Γ_1' has more than one true vertex:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathcal{P}^+}(a) = \sigma_{\mathrm{po},1}(a) + \sum_{s\in\mathcal{S}^+}\sigma_{\mathrm{switch}}(s) + \frac{(k-1)k}{2}(n+1) + |\mu(a)|\cdot[\mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1') + 1]\\ + k\cdot[n\cdot\dim W^u(a) + \dim W^u(a) + n + \dim\mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_1')]\\ + \dim W^u(a)\cdot[n + |\mu(a)| + \dim\mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_1') + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1')]\\ + n\cdot[\mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1') + \dim\mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_1')] + \mathrm{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma) + 1\end{aligned}$$

a is 2-valent:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathcal{P}^+}(a) = \sigma_{\mathrm{po},2}(a) + |\mu(p_1)|\cdot[\mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1') + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_2') + |\mu(a)|] + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_1')\cdot[n+1]\\ + \mathrm{bm}(\Gamma_2')\cdot[n + |\mu(a)| + \dim\mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_1')] + \mathrm{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma_1') + \mathrm{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma_2')\\ + n\cdot[\dim\mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_1') + \dim\mathrm{Ker}(\Gamma_2')] + \mathrm{bm}_{\min}(\Gamma_2).\end{aligned}$$

Here we recall the definition of $\sigma_{\mathrm{switch}}(p)$ from Section 6.5, and notice that p_1 from that definition is the negative special puncture of the partial flow tree having p as the only true puncture.

6.7. **Definition of ν_{stab}.** With the same notation as above we are now ready to give the definition of ν_{stab}.

Definition 6.5. *Let Γ be a rigid flow tree with positive puncture a. Then the sign ν_{stab} is given by*

$$\nu_{\text{stab}}(\Gamma) = \sigma_{\mathcal{P}^+}(a) + \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_1^-} \sigma_{\mathcal{P}_1^-}(p) + \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_2^-} \sigma_{\mathcal{P}_2^-}(p) + \sum_{p\in\mathcal{S}^-} \sigma_{\mathcal{S}^-}(p) + \sum_{p\in\mathcal{Y}_0} \sigma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}(p) + \sum_{p\in\mathcal{Y}_1} \sigma_{\mathcal{Y}_1}(p).$$

References

[BEE11] Frédéric Bourgeois, Tobias Ekholm, and Yakov Eliashberg. Symplectic homology product via Legendrian surgery. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 108(20):8114–8121, 2011.

[BEE12] Frédéric Bourgeois, Tobias Ekholm, and Yasha Eliashberg. Effect of Legendrian surgery. *Geom. Topol.*, 16(1):301–389, 2012. With an appendix by Sheel Ganatra and Maksim Maydanskiy.

[Che02] Yuri Chekanov. Differential algebra of Legendrian links. *Invent. Math.*, 150(3):441–483, 2002.

[CM] Roger Casals and Emmy Murphy. Legendrian Fronts for Affine Varieties. arXiv:1610.06977.

[DR11] Georgios Dimitroglou Rizell. Knotted Legendrian surfaces with few Reeb chords. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 11(5):2903–2936, 2011.

[EENS13] Tobias Ekholm, John B. Etnyre, Lenhard Ng, and Michael G. Sullivan. Knot contact homology. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(2):975–1112, 2013.

[EES05] Tobias Ekholm, John Etnyre, and Michael Sullivan. Orientations in Legendrian contact homology and exact Lagrangian immersions. *Internat. J. Math.*, 16(5):453–532, 2005.

[EES07] Tobias Ekholm, John Etnyre, and Michael Sullivan. Legendrian contact homology in $P\times\mathbb{R}$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(7):3301–3335 (electronic), 2007.

[EGH00] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental, and H. Hofer. Introduction to symplectic field theory. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (Special Volume, Part II):560–673, 2000. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999).

[Ekh07] Tobias Ekholm. Morse flow trees and Legendrian contact homology in 1-jet spaces. *Geom. Topol.*, 11:1083–1224, 2007.

[EL] Tobias Ekholm and Yanki Lekili. Duality between Lagrangian and Legendrian invariants. arXiv:1701.01284.

[Eli98] Yakov Eliashberg. Invariants in contact topology. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998)*, number Extra Vol. II, pages 327–338, 1998.

[ENS] Tobias Ekholm, Lenhard Ng, and Vivek Shende. A complete knot invariant from contact homology. arXiv:1606.07050.

[FO97] Kenji Fukaya and Yong-Geun Oh. Zero-loop open strings in the cotangent bundle and Morse homotopy. *Asian J. Math.*, 1(1):96–180, 1997.

[FOOO09] Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta, and Kaoru Ono. *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction. Part II*, volume 46 of *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

[Kar] Cecilia Karlsson. Orientations of Morse flow trees in Legendrian contact homology. arXiv:1601.07346.

[Ng05a] Lenhard Ng. Knot and braid invariants from contact homology. I. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:247–297 (electronic), 2005.

[Ng05b] Lenhard Ng. Knot and braid invariants from contact homology. II. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:1603–1637 (electronic), 2005. With an appendix by the author and Siddhartha Gadgil.

[Ng08] Lenhard Ng. Framed knot contact homology. *Duke Math. J.*, 141(2):365–406, 2008.

[Sch] Felix Schmäschke. Floer homology of Lagrangians in clean intersection. arXiv:1606.05327.